

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/086,848	03/04/2002	Jianwei Liu	50103-400 4303 EXAMINER	
20277	7590 03/03/2004			
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			RESAN, STEVAN A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	<u> </u>	, W				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/086,848	LIU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stevan A. Resan	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
——————————————————————————————————————	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or of 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Is have been received in Applica Inity documents have been receiv In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) ☐ Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/086,848

Art Unit: 1773

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-19, drawn to a process, classified in class 427, subclass 508.

Page 2

Claim 20, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass TF. 11.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The

inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the lubricant film may be formed by another

materially different process such as exposing the lubricant to electron beam radiation or

peroxide curing of the coating. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons

given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different

classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).